

CREDIT OPINION

30 November 2020



Contacts

Nisha Rajan +1.212.553.1978

Analyst

nisha.rajan@moodys.com

Chandra Ghosal +1.212.553.1095

VP-Senior Analyst/Manager chandra.ghosal@moodys.com

CLIENT SERVICES

Americas 1-212-553-1653 Asia Pacific 852-3551-3077 Japan 81-3-5408-4100

EMEA 44-20-7772-5454

Leesburg (Town of) VA

Update to credit analysis

Summary

The Town of Leesburg (Aaa stable) has a sizeable, diversified economy and serves an affluent population in northern Virginia. The town serves a largely residential base and benefits from a variety of economic anchors including governmental employment, a robust transportation system, and proximity to the Metropolitan Washington Airport Authority's (MWAA, Aa3 stable) Dulles International Airport (IAD). As a result of increasing commercial and residential development, the town's revenue base is growing, which will support its strong financial position. The town's debt burden will remain manageable given additional anticipated tax base growth, and although fixed costs are slightly elevated, increased revenues will support future debt service payments and capital projects.

Credit strengths

- » Favorable location with institutional presence
- » Sizeable tax base and above average wealth and income levels
- » Healthy financial position supported by long-term financial planning

Credit challenges

» Moderate fixed costs

Rating outlook

The stable outlook reflects the expectation that the town's financial position will remain sound given demonstrated trends of operating stability, fiscal monitoring, and adherence to formal policies. The outlook also incorporates the town's large tax base that will continue to benefit from its favorable location in the metro-DC region.

Factors that could lead to an upgrade

» Not applicable

Factors that could lead to a downgrade

- » Deterioration in the town's tax base and socioeconomic indicators
- » Deterioration of reserves and liquidity
- » Weakened financial position in general fund or enterprise funds
- » Material increase in debt burden

Key indicators

Exhibit 1

Leesburg (Town of) VA	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019
Economy/Tax Base					
Total Full Value (\$000)	\$6,549,975	\$6,751,547	\$6,835,945	\$7,197,516	\$7,647,910
Population	47,872	49,401	51,015	52,125	53,917
Full Value Per Capita	\$136,823	\$136,668	\$133,999	\$138,082	\$141,846
Median Family Income (% of US Median)	175.8%	166.6%	168.5%	173.0%	173.0%
Finances					
Operating Revenue (\$000)	\$51,460	\$54,647	\$56,875	\$57,544	\$58,715
Fund Balance (\$000)	\$21,636	\$24,462	\$26,434	\$28,505	\$25,355
Cash Balance (\$000)	\$23,256	\$24,822	\$27,340	\$29,642	\$23,468
Fund Balance as a % of Revenues	42.0%	44.8%	46.5%	49.5%	43.2%
Cash Balance as a % of Revenues	45.2%	45.4%	48.1%	51.5%	40.0%
Debt/Pensions					
Net Direct Debt (\$000)	\$55,810	\$68,115	\$63,225	\$58,404	\$54,165
3-Year Average of Moody's ANPL (\$000)	\$47,220	\$47,759	\$58,426	\$59,213	\$61,656
Net Direct Debt / Full Value (%)	0.9%	1.0%	0.9%	0.8%	0.7%
Net Direct Debt / Operating Revenues (x)	1.1x	1.2x	1.1x	1.0x	0.9x
Moody's - adjusted Net Pension Liability (3-yr average) to Full Value (%)	0.7%	0.7%	0.9%	0.8%	0.8%
Moody's - adjusted Net Pension Liability (3-yr average) to Revenues (x)	0.9x	0.9x	1.0x	1.0x	1.1x

^{*}Total full value is based on the tax year associated with the first half of the town's fiscal year Source: Leesburg, VA & Moody's Investors Service

Profile

The town is located in <u>Loudoun County</u> (Aaa stable) in northern <u>Virginia</u> (Aaa stable), approximately 40 miles from the <u>District of Columbia</u> (Aaa stable), and serves a population of 53,727.

Detailed credit considerations

Economy and tax base: strong, affluent tax base benefitting from ongoing economic development and population growth

Leesburg's tax base will continue to experience steady economic growth due to its favorable location, improving local economy, healthy wealth and income levels, and stable employment base benefitting from local and federal governmental institutions. Located in Northern Virginia, 40 miles from the nation's capital, the town's tax base grew a five-year compound annual rate of 4.6% to reach \$8.4 billion in fiscal 2021, which is aligned with the median of \$7.8 billion of similarly rated entities nationally.

Commercial activity remains strong, which is a regional center for retail developments. Further, the area has several commercial projects underway for multiple mixed-use developments, residential buildings, and office space.

The town's population continues to grow rapidly, increasing 15% over the last five years (2014-2019). As of September 2020, the unemployment rate was 4.6%, relative to 6% in VA and 7.7% in the US, highlighting the areas economic resiliency during the coronavirus pandemic. The town also benefits from an educated work force, with the region ranked highly across the nation for residents with college and advanced degrees. As such, median family income is strong at 173% of the US level, and full value per capita was a healthy \$156,719, which falls below the median (\$203,636) for similar Aaa-rated entities nationally.

Financial operations and reserves: strong financial position supported stable operating history

The town's financial position will likely remain healthy due to management's ability to adjust property tax rates to support operations, debt service, and capital projects, long-term financial planning, and adherence to prudent fiscal policies.

Following six years of positive operations, the town ran a general fund deficit in fiscal 2019 to fund an increase in capital projects. Still, available (unassigned and assigned) fund balance remains strong at \$25.4 million (41.3% of operating revenues), which is aligned with

This publication does not announce a credit rating action. For any credit ratings referenced in this publication, please see the ratings tab on the issuer/entity page on www.moodys.com for the most updated credit rating action information and rating history.

the median for Aaa-rated entities nationally at 42.9% of operating revenues. The town maintains \$9.8 million of assigned fund balance as a "debt service reserve."

The real property tax rate was levied at \$1.84 per \$1,000 of taxable value, remaining level since fiscal 2017. Operating revenues are primarily composed of property taxes (28.5%), intergovernmental revenues (which includes sales, use, and communications taxes) (26.4%), and other local taxes (which includes meals, business licences, and utility taxes) (26.3%).

Before transfers in, unaudited fiscal 2020 results reflect a \$1.1 million deficit, primarily because of declines in other local taxes and charges for services; after incorporating transfers in (primarily for administrative costs due to the general fund), the town posted a small \$180,269 surplus. Available fund balance totaled \$23.9 million (41.6% of revenues). The real property tax rate was maintained at \$1.84 per \$1,000 of taxable value. The town also utilized a portion of its "debt service reserve" for the first time, which totaled \$9.4 million at year-end.

The fiscal 2021 general fund budget includes a 3.9% increase over fiscal 2020, and is anticipated to be balanced, primarily because of cost-savings measures to offset an estimated \$5.5 million in revenue declines because of the pandemic. The town will continue to monitor the budget and make necessary adjustments.

Liquidity

Leesburg's liquidity position at the end of fiscal 2019 was a healthy \$23.5 million (40% of operating revenues). The town's cash levels are below the Aaa-median of 52.2%, and are anticipated to remain strong going forward.

Debt and pensions: manageable liabilities with moderate fixed costs

The town's debt profile will likely remain manageable in the near-term given expected tax base growth, the self-supporting nature of the town's utility system, and manageable future debt plans. Following the 2020 refunding, the town's net direct debt level totals 0.6% of full valuation (net of self-supporting utility debt), which is aligned with the Aaa median (0.7%). When the overlapping debt of Loudoun County is added (which includes the county's school system debt), the overall debt burden rises to an above-average 2.5%.

We expect the utility fund to continue supporting its portion of debt through the life of the associated bonds, given its stable history, strong financial position, and approved annual rate increases of 4.5% through 2024. In fiscal 2019, the utility fund maintained 905 days cash on hand, and coverage was 3.13 times, inclusive of \$1.4 million in annual administrative reimbursements to the general fund and income derived from non-operating revenues.

The town also maintains two lines of credit (LOC) with Atlantic Union Bank at a fixed interest rate of 2.561% for \$25.5 million for the general fund and \$32.5 million for the utility fund (both maturing June 13, 2024). As of June 30, 2020, the town has drawn approximately \$174,000 from the general fund LOC and \$1.5 million from the utility fund LOC.

The town's \$232 million fiscal 2020-2025 capital improvement plan is expected to be financed by \$68 million in additional GO bonds (33%) (split evenly between bonds supported by the general fund and utility funds), state funding from the Virginia Department of Transportation and Northern Virginia Transportation Authority (28%), pay-go funding (22%). Projects are primarily for streets and highways (41%), utilities (30%), and general government (13%).

The town expects to remain in compliance with its self-imposed debt policies, which require a ratio of debt to assessed value below 2.5% (post-issuance 1.2% including utility debt), and debt service as a percentage of general fund expenditures under 15% (12.5% in fiscal 2019).

Legal security

The 2020 GO bonds are secured by the town's unlimited ad valorem tax pledge.

Debt structure

All debt is fixed rate. Payout is above-average, with 68% of all debt (including utility debt) repaid in 10 years. Debt service payments are anticipated to increase in 2022, with slight annual decreases beginning in 2023, affording flexibility to layer in the planned future issues.

Debt-related derivatives

The town is not party to any derivative agreements.

Pensions and OPEB

Pensions are not a source of credit stress for the town. The town participates in the Virginia Retirement System defined benefit pension plan administered by the Commonwealth of Virginia.

The town's adjusted net pension liabilities (ANPL), based on a 4.14% discount rate, totaled \$58.3 million. Comparatively, the GASB reported net pension liabilities, based on a 7% discount rate, totaled \$11 million. The ANPL represents a manageable 0.99 times operating revenue and 0.76% of full value.

In fiscal 2019, the town contributed \$2.4 million to the plans, which surpassed its "tread water indicator" a credit positive.

Exhibit 2
Pension Dashboard
Fiscal 2019

2019	(000)	% of Operating Revenues	Discount Rate
Operating Revenue	58,715	n/a	n/a
Reported Unfunded Pension Liability	10,979	19%	7.00%
Moody's Adjusted Net Pension Liability	58,342	99%	4.14%
Reported Net OPEB Liability	10,810	18%	6.33%
Moody's Adjusted Net OPEB Liability	24,881	42%	3.60%
Net Direct Debt	54,165	92%	n/a
Debt & unfunded retirement benefits (Moody's adjusted)	137,387	233.99%	
Pension Contribution	2,402	4.09%	n/a
OPEB Contribution	897	1.53%	n/a
Debt Service	8,066	13.74%	n/a
Total Fixed Costs	11,365	19.36%	n/a
Tread Water Gap	(732)	-1.25%	n/a
Moody's Adjusted Fixed Costs	10,633	18.11%	n/a

Source: Leesburg, VA & Moody's Investors Service

ESG considerations

Environmental

The town's exposure to long-term environmental trends is consistent with that of the US local government sector, which as a whole, is low. However, <u>environmental considerations</u> are material to the town's credit profile. According to data from Moody's affiliate Four Twenty Seven, the town has elevated exposure to extreme rainfall and heat stress, which is notable given that the county is a large residential hub within a strong economic region. Still, the town's operations and economy will likely be able to withstand weather-related events given management's proactive long-range capital planning and the state and federal governments' history of providing disaster relief to local governments following a major weather event.

Social

<u>Social issues</u>, which include demographics, labor force, and income metrics, are material to the town's credit quality and are incorporated by way of full value per capita and median family income. While social issues do not currently pose a credit risk, we will continue to monitor a variety of factors such as demographics, income levels, population trends and employment levels, as these remain important key credit metrics.

Governance

Like issuers across every sector, governance considerations are material to the town's credit quality. The town's consistently sound financial position is supported by a history of conservative budgeting and prudent financial practices, which we expect to continue in the near-term. The town maintains a policy to keep unassigned general fund balance at 20% of expenditures, which it has surpassed over the past five years.

Virginia cities and towns have an Institutional Framework score of "Aaa", which is very strong. The sector's major revenue source of property taxes are not subject to any caps. Unpredictable revenue fluctuations tend to be minor, or under 5% annually. Across the

sector, fixed and mandated costs are generally less than 25% of expenditures. Fixed costs are driven mainly by debt service and pension costs. Unpredictable expenditure fluctuations tend to be minor, under 5% annually.

Rating methodology and scorecard factors

The <u>US Local Government General Obligation Debt</u> methodology includes a scorecard, a tool providing a composite score of a local government's credit profile based on the weighted factors we consider most important, universal and measurable, as well as possible notching factors dependent on individual credit strengths and weaknesses. Its purpose is not to determine the final rating, but rather to provide a standard platform from which to analyze and compare local government credits.

Exhibit 3

Leesburg (Town of) VA

Leesburg (Town of) VA		
Scorecard Factors and Subfactors	Measure	Score
Economy/Tax Base (30%) [1]		
Tax Base Size: Full Value (in 000s)	\$8,449,844	Aa
Full Value Per Capita	\$156,719	Aaa
Median Family Income (% of US Median)	173.0%	Aaa
Notching Factors: ^[2]		
Institutional Presence		Up
Finances (30%)		
Fund Balance as a % of Revenues	43.2%	Aaa
5-Year Dollar Change in Fund Balance as % of Revenues	9.6%	Α
Cash Balance as a % of Revenues	40.0%	Aaa
5-Year Dollar Change in Cash Balance as % of Revenues	3.4%	Α
Management (20%)		
Institutional Framework	Aaa	Aaa
Operating History: 5-Year Average of Operating Revenues / Operating Expenditures	1.0x	Aa
Notching Factors: ^[2]		
Unusually Strong or Weak Budgetary Management and Planning		Up
Debt and Pensions (20%)		
Net Direct Debt / Full Value (%)	0.6%	Aaa
Net Direct Debt / Operating Revenues (x)	0.8x	Α
3-Year Average of Moody's Adjusted Net Pension Liability / Full Value (%)	0.7%	Aaa
3-Year Average of Moody's Adjusted Net Pension Liability / Operating Revenues (x)	1.1x	Α
	Scorecard-Indicated Outcome	Aaa
	Assigned Rating	Aaa

^[1] Economy measures are based on data from the most recent year available.

Endnotes

1 Our "tread water" indicator measures annual government contribution required to prevent reported net pension liabilities from growing, given the entity's actuarial assumptions. An annual government contribution that treads water equals the sum of employer service cost and interest on the reported net pension liability at the start of the fiscal year. A pension plan that receives an employer contribution equal to the tread water indicator will end the year with an unchanged net pension liability relative to the beginning of the year if all plan assumptions hold. Net liabilities may decrease or increase in a given year due to factors other than the contribution amount, such as investment performance that exceeds or falls short of a plan's assumed rate of return. Still, higher contributions will always reduce unfunded liabilities faster, or will allow unfunded liabilities to grow more slowly than lower contributions

^[2] Notching Factors are specifically defined in the US Local Government General Obligation Debt methodology.

^[3] Standardized adjustments are outlined in the GO Methodology Scorecard Inputs publication.

Source: US Census Bureau, Moody's Investors Service

© 2020 Moody's Corporation, Moody's Investors Service, Inc., Moody's Analytics, Inc. and/or their licensors and affiliates (collectively, "MOODY'S"). All rights reserved.

CREDIT RATINGS ISSUED BY MOODY'S INVESTORS SERVICE, INC. AND/OR ITS CREDIT RATINGS AFFILIATES ARE MOODY'S CURRENT OPINIONS OF THE RELATIVE FUTURE
CREDIT RISK OF ENTITIES, CREDIT COMMITMENTS, OR DEBT OR DEBT-LIKE SECURITIES, AND MATERIALS, PRODUCTS, SERVICES AND INFORMATION PUBLISHED BY MOODY'S
(COLLECTIVELY, "PUBLICATIONS") MAY INCLUDE SUCH CURRENT OPINIONS. MOODY'S INVESTORS SERVICE DEFINES CREDIT RISK AS THE RISK THAT AN ENTITY MAY
NOT MEET ITS CONTRACTUAL FINANCIAL OBLIGATIONS AS THEY COME DUE AND ANY ESTIMATED FINANCIAL LOSS IN THE EVENT OF DEFAULT OR IMPAIRMENT. SEE
MOODY'S RATING SYMBOLS AND DEFINITIONS PUBLICATION FOR INFORMATION ON THE TYPES OF CONTRACTUAL FINANCIAL OBLIGATIONS ADDRESSED BY MOODY'S
INVESTORS SERVICE CREDIT RATINGS. CREDIT RATINGS DO NOT ADDRESS ANY OTHER RISK, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO: LIQUIDITY RISK, MARKET VALUE RISK, OR
PRICE VOLATILITY. CREDIT RATINGS, NON-CREDIT ASSESSMENTS ("ASSESSMENTS"), AND OTHER OPINIONS INCLUDED IN MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS ARE NOT STATEMENTS
OF CURRENT OR HISTORICAL FACT. MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS MAY ALSO INCLUDE QUANTITATIVE MODEL-BASED ESTIMATES OF CREDIT RISK AND RELATED OPINIONS OR
COMMENTARY PUBLISHED BY MOODY'S ANALYTICS, INC. AND/OR ITS AFFILIATES. MOODY'S CREDIT RATINGS, ASSESSMENTS, OTHER OPINIONS AND PUBLICATIONS ARE NOT
AND DO NOT PROVIDE INVESTMENT OR FINANCIAL ADVICE, AND MOODY'S CREDIT RATINGS, ASSESSMENTS, OTHER OPINIONS AND PUBLICATIONS ARE NOT
AND DO NOT PROVIDE RECOMMENDATIONS TO PURCHASE, SELL, OR HOLD PARTICULAR SECURITIES. MOODY'S CREDIT RATINGS, ASSESSMENTS, OTHER OPINIONS AND
PUBLICATIONS DO NOT COMMENT ON THE SUITABILITY OF AN INVESTMENT FOR ANY PARTICULAR FLOAT HAT EACH INVESTOR WILL, WITH DUE CARE, MAKE ITS OWN STUDY
AND EVALUATION OF EACH SECURITY THAT IS UNDER CONSIDERATION FOR PURCHASE. HOLDING. OR SALE.

MOODY'S CREDIT RATINGS, ASSESSMENTS, OTHER OPINIONS, AND PUBLICATIONS ARE NOT INTENDED FOR USE BY RETAIL INVESTORS AND IT WOULD BE RECKLESS AND INAPPROPRIATE FOR RETAIL INVESTORS TO USE MOODY'S CREDIT RATINGS, ASSESSMENTS, OTHER OPINIONS OR PUBLICATIONS WHEN MAKING AN INVESTMENT DECISION. IF IN DOUBT YOU SHOULD CONTACT YOUR FINANCIAL OR OTHER PROFESSIONAL ADVISER. ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED HEREIN IS PROTECTED BY LAW, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO, COPYRIGHT LAW, AND NONE OF SUCH INFORMATION MAY BE COPIED OR OTHERWISE REPRODUCED, REPACKAGED, FURTHER TRANSFITTED, TRANSFERRED, DISSEMINATED, REDISTRIBUTED OR RESOLD, OR STORED FOR SUBSEQUENT USE FOR ANY SUCH PURPOSE, IN WHOLE OR IN PART, IN ANY FORM OR MANNER OR BY ANY MEANS WHATSOFVER BY ANY PERSON WITHOUT MOODY'S PRIOR WRITTEN CONSENT.

MOODY'S CREDIT RATINGS, ASSESSMENTS, OTHER OPINIONS AND PUBLICATIONS ARE NOT INTENDED FOR USE BY ANY PERSON AS A BENCHMARK AS THAT TERM IS DEFINED FOR REGULATORY PURPOSES AND MUST NOT BE USED IN ANY WAY THAT COULD RESULT IN THEM BEING CONSIDERED A BENCHMARK.

All information contained herein is obtained by MOODY'S from sources believed by it to be accurate and reliable. Because of the possibility of human or mechanical error as well as other factors, however, all information contained herein is provided "AS IS" without warranty of any kind. MOODY'S adopts all necessary measures so that the information it uses in assigning a credit rating is of sufficient quality and from sources MOODY'S considers to be reliable including, when appropriate, independent third-party sources. However, MOODY'S is not an auditor and cannot in every instance independently verify or validate information received in the rating process or in preparing its Publications.

To the extent permitted by law, MOODY'S and its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors and suppliers disclaim liability to any person or entity for any indirect, special, consequential, or incidental losses or damages whatsoever arising from or in connection with the information contained herein or the use of or inability to use any such information, even if MOODY'S or any of its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors or suppliers is advised in advance of the possibility of such losses or damages, including but not limited to: (a) any loss of present or prospective profits or (b) any loss or damage arising where the relevant financial instrument is not the subject of a particular credit rating assigned by MOODY'S.

To the extent permitted by law, MOODY'S and its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors and suppliers disclaim liability for any direct or compensatory losses or damages caused to any person or entity, including but not limited to by any negligence (but excluding fraud, willful misconduct or any other type of liability that, for the avoidance of doubt, by law cannot be excluded) on the part of, or any contingency within or beyond the control of, MOODY'S or any of its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors or suppliers, arising from or in connection with the information contained herein or the use of or inability to use any such information.

NO WARRANTY, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, AS TO THE ACCURACY, TIMELINESS, COMPLETENESS, MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR ANY PARTICULAR PURPOSE OF ANY CREDIT RATING, ASSESSMENT, OTHER OPINION OR INFORMATION IS GIVEN OR MADE BY MOODY'S IN ANY FORM OR MANNER WHATSOEVER.

Moody's Investors Service, Inc., a wholly-owned credit rating agency subsidiary of Moody's Corporation ("MCO"), hereby discloses that most issuers of debt securities (including corporate and municipal bonds, debentures, notes and commercial paper) and preferred stock rated by Moody's Investors Service, Inc. have, prior to assignment of any credit rating, agreed to pay to Moody's Investors Service, Inc. for credit ratings opinions and services rendered by it fees ranging from \$1,000 to approximately \$2,700,000. MCO and Moody's Investors Service also maintain policies and procedures to address the independence of Moody's Investors Service credit ratings and credit rating processes. Information regarding certain affiliations that may exist between directors of MCO and rated entities, and between entities who hold credit ratings from Moody's Investors Service and have also publicly reported to the SEC an ownership interest in MCO of more than 5%, is posted annually at www.moodys.com under the heading "Investor Relations — Corporate Governance — Director and Shareholder Affiliation Policy."

Additional terms for Australia only: Any publication into Australia of this document is pursuant to the Australian Financial Services License of MOODY'S affiliate, Moody's Investors Service Pty Limited ABN 61 003 399 657AFSL 336969 and/or Moody's Analytics Australia Pty Ltd ABN 94 105 136 972 AFSL 383569 (as applicable). This document is intended to be provided only to "wholesale clients" within the meaning of section 761G of the Corporations Act 2001. By continuing to access this document from within Australia, you represent to MOODY'S that you are, or are accessing the document as a representative of, a "wholesale client" and that neither you nor the entity you represent will directly or indirectly disseminate this document or its contents to "retail clients" within the meaning of section 761G of the Corporations Act 2001. MOODY'S credit rating is an opinion as to the creditworthiness of a debt obligation of the issuer, not on the equity securities of the issuer or any form of security that is available to retail investors.

Additional terms for Japan only: Moody's Japan K.K. ("MJKK") is a wholly-owned credit rating agency subsidiary of Moody's Group Japan G.K., which is wholly-owned by Moody's Overseas Holdings Inc., a wholly-owned subsidiary of MCO. Moody's SF Japan K.K. ("MSFJ") is a wholly-owned credit rating agency subsidiary of MJKK. MSFJ is not a Nationally Recognized Statistical Rating Organization ("NRSRO"). Therefore, credit ratings assigned by MSFJ are Non-NRSRO Credit Ratings. Non-NRSRO Credit Ratings are assigned by an entity that is not a NRSRO and, consequently, the rated obligation will not qualify for certain types of treatment under U.S. laws. MJKK and MSFJ are credit rating agencies registered with the Japan Financial Services Agency and their registration numbers are FSA Commissioner (Ratings) No. 2 and 3 respectively.

MJKK or MSFJ (as applicable) hereby disclose that most issuers of debt securities (including corporate and municipal bonds, debentures, notes and commercial paper) and preferred stock rated by MJKK or MSFJ (as applicable) have, prior to assignment of any credit rating, agreed to pay to MJKK or MSFJ (as applicable) for credit ratings opinions and services rendered by it fees ranging from JPY125,000 to approximately JPY250,000,000.

MJKK and MSFJ also maintain policies and procedures to address Japanese regulatory requirements.

REPORT NUMBER

1255011

CLIENT SERVICES

 Americas
 1-212-553-1653

 Asia Pacific
 852-3551-3077

 Japan
 81-3-5408-4100

 EMEA
 44-20-7772-5454

